Research


**BACKGROUND:** The most commonly used environmental sampling protocol requires 6 samples collected at various locations on an individual dairy farm. Effects on sensitivity for origin of sample within a pen, season, herd size, and composition of the sample set are currently unknown or conflicting.

**PURPOSE:** The objectives of this study were to determine (1) location-specific odds of MAP-positive environmental sampling results and whether season and herd size influence results; (2) whether season and herd size affect the odds of including at least one manure storage area sample and one dry, sick, or calving pen sample in a sample set; and (3) whether the sample-set composition affects the odds of a positive set.

**RESULTS:** There were 5,588 samples collected from dairy farms in Alberta and Saskatchewan, Canada. Samples from sick-cow pens, calving pens, and dry-cow housing had lower odds of testing positive than lactating cow–pen samples. Samples from bedding packs and manure piles were less frequently positive than from alleyways and lagoons. Samples from spring and summer were more often positive than those from winter. Sample sets were smaller in winter which indicated difficulties accessing certain areas. Substitution of sample locations had minor effects on sets containing 6 samples. Sets with 2 manure storage-area samples detected 81% of farms with at least one positive environmental sample, sets with only dry, sick, or calving–pen samples detected only 59%.

**CONCLUSIONS:** The authors concluded that to ensure high sensitivity of environmental sampling, sample sets should only include samples collected from areas where manure from several cows can be well mixed; those include lactating-cow alleys and gutters over sick or calving pen bedding packs, and lagoons and pits instead of piles. Samples should be collected in spring and summer instead of in winter, because manure storage areas and outdoor pens are easier to access and culture of environmental samples is more accurate. Replacing sample locations with others had an effect on the sensitivity of sample sets containing only a small number of samples but had only a minor effect on the sensitivity of a set containing 6 environmental samples.

BACKGROUND: The authors hypothesized that vaccination of chickens with C. jejuni surface-exposed colonization proteins (SECPs) would reduce the ability of C. jejuni to colonize chickens, thereby reducing the contamination of poultry products at the retail level and potentially providing a safer food product for consumers.

PURPOSE: The objective of this study was to compare the efficacy of vaccination with C. jejuni SECPs in reducing the load of C. jejuni in the digestive tract of chickens. They chose to target the CadF, FlpA, FlaA, and CmeC proteins, as they are required for maximal chicken colonization, well-conserved amongst C. jejuni strains, and present on the surface of the bacterium.

RESULTS: Vaccination of chickens with the CadF, FlaA, and FlpA peptides resulted in a reduction in the number of C. jejuni in the ceca compared to the non-vaccinated C. jejuni-challenged group. The greatest reduction in C. jejuni colonization was observed in chickens injected with the FlaA, FlpA, or CadF-FlaA-FlpA fusion proteins. Vaccination of chickens with different SECPs resulted in the production of C. jejuni-specific IgY antibodies.

CONCLUSIONS: The authors propose that future immune intervention strategies include FlpA, particularly since the FlpA protein is extremely well-conserved amongst C. jejuni strains. Reducing the level of C. jejuni in the cecum by immunization of chickens with FlpA could create a safer food supply.
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